STUDENT FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT FOR SEM (A.Y 2020-21)

(Mid. Semester)

1. INTRODUCTION

Student's feedback is taken for all batches at the mid semester and end of the semester and by the Batch-in-Charge to get a student perspective on the requirements in the class, subject and the curriculum in general as it helps the faculty to design their teaching methods in a way that can be more helpful and beneficial to students as well as it helps the organization to better plan the subjects offered, faculties to be allotted, add on trainings to be given besides getting their views on the different departments of the organization.

2. METHOD

The feedback was taken by circulating a Google form with all students at the end of the Semester conducted for batch 2020-21.

Through the feedback form thus circulated each student was asked to rate each faculty that taught him/her during the Semester on a scale of 1 to 4 on the following parameters:

1. Teaching Methodology
2. Language/Voice Clarity
3. Behavior with Students
4. Interaction with students during the class
5. Use of business relevant concepts while explaining concepts

The students were asked to rate the faculty on above parameters on a scale of 1 to 4. For better clarity and uniformity in responses the students were given what each number on the scale denote for the surveyor. The detailed scale is given below:

- 1 for Poor
- 2 for Average
- 3 for Good
- 4 for Very Good

3. SAMPLE FORM: You can find question as seen by the students in the picture below.

	4	3	2	1
Behavior with the s…	○	○	○	○
Teaching methodo…	○	○	○	○
Language and voic…	○	○	○	○
Interactive Classes	○	○	○	○
Concept Clarity An…	○	○	○	○

**Prof. SHWETA BORSE :** With overall rating of 3.41 Ms. SHWETA BORSE was well received by the students. Ms. SHWETA BORSE was rated **good** by the student community.


Ms. PRIYANKA SHARMA

: With overall rating of 3.30 Ms. PRIYANKA SHARMA was well received by the students. Ms. PRIYANKA SHARMA was rated **good** by the student community.

Mr. KUMAR MRITYUNJAY

: With overall rating of 3.32 Mr. KUMAR MRITYUNJAY was very well received by the students. Mr. KUMAR MRITYUNJAY was rated **good to very good** by the student community.

Mr. PRAVIN GURAV : With overall rating of 3.18 Mr. PRAVIN GURAV was well received by the students. Mr. PRAVIN GURAV was rated **good** by the student community.


Mr. RITESH VERMA : With overall rating of 3.27 Mr. RITESH VERMA was well received by the students. Mr. RITESH VERMA was rated **good** by the student community.

Mr. NIKHIL NAINANI

: With overall rating of 3.44 Mr. NIKHIL NAINANI sir was very well received by the students Mr. NIKHIL NAINANI sir was rated **good** by the student community. Pravin sir is amongst the top 5 Faculty on the basis of faculty rating of the students.

Mr. MOHIT DIVE

Mr. MOHIT DIVE: With overall rating of 3.43 Mr. MOHIT DIVE sir was well received by the students. Mr. MOHIT DIVE was rated **good** by the student community.

Mr. KINSHUK SETH: With overall rating of 3.48 **Mr. KINSHUK SETH** sir was well received by the students. **Mr. KINSHUK SETH** rated **good** by the student community.

Mr. SACHIN VERMA: With overall rating of 3.28 Prof. Joel sir was well received by the students. Mr. SACHIN VERMA sir was rated **Good** by the student community. Students was happy with behavior but other things need to improve.


Dr. MUKHOPPADHAY RIDDHIMAN
:With overall rating of 2.98   Dr. MUKHOPPADHAY RIDDHIMAN
was very well received by the students. sir was rated **average** by the student community.

Dr. PRASAD POORNA CHANDRA : With overall rating of 3.29 Dr. PRASAD POORNA CHANDRA was very well received by the students. Dr. PRASAD POORNA CHANDRA was rated **good** by the student community.


Dr. NANDRE VINAY BHATU:

With overall rating of 3.60 Dr. NANDRE VINAY BHATU Sir was very well received by the students. **Dr. NANDRE VINAY BHATU** was rated **good to very good** by the student community.

Dr. NILESH TEJRAO KATE: With overall rating of 3.30 Prof. **Dr. NILESH TEJRAO KATE** sir was well received by the students. Randive sir was rated **good** by the student community.


Mr. BHAT PRASAD

: With overall rating of 3.19 **Mr. BHAT PRASAD** sir was well received by the students. **Mr. BHAT PRASAD** sir was rated **good** by the student community.


Ms. Neelima Khaladkar

: With overall rating of 3.34 Prof. Ms. Neelima Khaladkar was well received by the students. Ms. Neelima Khaladkar was rated **good** by the student community.

Ms. SEHRAWAT POORNIMA

: With overall rating of 3.18 Ms. SEHRAWAT POORNIMA was well received by the students. Ms. SEHRAWAT POORNIMA was rated **good** by the student community.


Mrs. GETHE RAJASHREE KARBHARI

: With overall rating of 3.62Mrs. GETHE RAJASHREE KARBHARI was well received by the students. Mrs. GETHE RAJASHREE KARBHARI was rated **good to very good** by the student community.

 Mr. SAMIR RANJAN MONDAL

: With overall rating of 3.34 Mr. SAMIR RANJAN MONDAL sir was well received by the students. Mr. SAMIR RANJAN MONDAL was rated **good** by the student community.

4. **Findings:**

As PIBM focuses on students' overall growth and developing their competencies so that they can perform well in the professional world. To ensure the same, PIBM follows a rigorous recruitment process and faculty finalization for the subjects, but students' feedbacks help faculty and the institute to understand points of improvement in terms of different aspects of teaching and training.

As the Mid Sem Feedback helps to understand students' requirements of Remedial sessions and all other requirements to understand the subject better, Mid Sem feedback is required. The overall average rating is 3.33 out of 4 which is good and provides us the clarity that the respected faculty taught them well in the class.